

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,280		08/27/2001	Frederick H. Carter	15437-0546	4555	
29989	7590	11/12/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	N PALEI	RMO TRUONG &	BRUCKART, I	BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R		
	E, CA 95		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2155		
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/12/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
Office Action Summers	09/940,280	CARTER, FREDERICK	Н.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Benjamin R Bruckart	2155						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	lugust 2001.	•						
	<u> </u>							
	-							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		·					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Palest and Trademet Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:							

1

Art Unit: 2155

Detailed Action

Claims 1-26 are pending in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication No. 2002/0178254 by Brittenham et al.

Regarding claim 1, in a process comprising at least one activity, a computer implemented method for performing an activity (Brittenham: page 2, para 17; page 4, para 41; activity = web service), comprising:

receiving a message to perform an activity which calls for invocation of a service provided by a service application (Brittenham: page 2, para 17; web service), said service being invocable using a protocol (Brittenham: page 4, para 41);

obtaining a service definition for said service (Brittenham: page 4-5, para 47-48);

executing a set of logic which implements said protocol to generate a service invocation (Brittenham: page 2, para 17), wherein said service invocation is generated based upon at least a portion of said service definition, and is in compliance with said protocol (Brittenham: page 4-5, para 41, 47-48, 53); and

sending said service invocation to said service application to invoke said service (Brittenham: page 5, para 50).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 2, the method of claim 1, wherein said protocol is an industry standard protocol (Brittenham: page 4, para 41).

Regarding claim 3, the method of claim 2, wherein said protocol is SOAP (simple object access protocol) (Brittenham: page 4, para 41).

Regarding claim 4, the method of claim 2, wherein said protocol is ebXML (Brittenham: page 4, para 41; col. 2).

Regarding claim 5, the method of claim 1, wherein said activity has an activity definition associated therewith, and wherein said activity definition comprises said service definition (page 5, para 53; definition for deployed service).

Regarding claim 6, the method of claim 1, wherein said service definition comprises an indication that said protocol is to be used to invoke said service (Brittenham: pages 5-6, para 55, 58; name of the soap server, run-time environment; different services leverage web services stack of different protocols; Figure 6).

Regarding claim 7, the method of claim 1, wherein said service definition comprises access information for accessing said service (Brittenham: page 5, para 48; end point information).

Regarding claim 8, the method of claim 7, wherein said access information comprises a URI (universal resource identifier) (Brittenham: page 5, para 48; URL is a component of a URI. URI is made up of URNs and URLs).

Regarding claim 9, the method of claim 7, wherein said access information comprises a service name (Brittenham: page 4, para 40).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 10, the method of claim 1, wherein said service definition comprises mapping information that maps one or more attributes associated with said activity to one or more parameters used by said service (Brittenham: pages 4-5, para 47-48; Figures 5a-5c; binds service with node).

Regarding claim 11, the method of claim 1, wherein said message to perform said activity is received from a process management engine, and wherein said method further comprises:

receiving a reply from said service application which comprises one or more results of said service invocation (Brittenham: page 6, para 57); and

providing at least a portion of said one or more results to said process management engine to complete performance of said activity (Brittenham: page 6, para 56-57; process management engine = DN).

Regarding claim 12, a computer implemented method for performing one or more activities (Brittenham: page 2, para 17; page 4, para 41; activity = web service), comprising:

receiving a first message to perform a first activity which calls for invocation of a first service provided by a first service application (Brittenham: page 2, para 17, web services; The Brittenham reference teaches the deployment node for a plurality of web services. The deployment node receives a plurality of messages for different services as shown in Figure 3 with the counter and POP and in Figure 1 with the different origin server and POP servers);

obtaining a service definition for said first service (Brittenham: pages 4-5, para 47-48), said service definition for said first service comprising an indication that a first protocol is to be used to invoke said first service (Brittenham: page 4, para 41; pages 5-6, para 55, 58; name of the soap server, run-time environment; different services leverage web services stack of different protocols; Figure 6);

Art Unit: 2155

selecting a first set of logic based upon said indication in said service definition for said first service, said first set of logic implementing said first protocol (Brittenham: page 5, para 41);

executing said first set of logic to generate a first service invocation (Brittenham: page 5, para 50), wherein said first service invocation is generated based upon at least a portion of said service definition for said first service, and is in compliance with said first protocol (Brittenham: page 4-5, para 41, 47-48, 53); and

sending said first service invocation to said first service application to invoke said first service (Brittenham: page 5, para 50).

Regarding claim 13, the method of claim 12, further comprising:

receiving a second message to perform a second activity which calls for invocation of a second service provided by a second service application (Brittenham: page 2, para 17; web service);

obtaining a service definition for said second service (Brittenham: pages 4-5, para 47-48), said service definition for said second service comprising an indication that a second protocol is to be used to invoke said second service (Brittenham: page 4, para 41; pages 5-6, para 55, 58; name of the soap server, run-time environment; different services leverage web services stack of different protocols; Figure 6);

selecting a second set of logic based upon said indication in said service definition for said second service, said second set of logic implementing said second protocol (Brittenham: page 5, para 41);

executing said second set of logic to generate a second service invocation (Brittenham: page 5, para 50), wherein said second service invocation is generated based upon at least a portion of said service definition for said second service, and is in compliance with said second protocol (Brittenham: page 4-5, para 41, 47-48, 53); and

sending said second service invocation to said second service application to invoke said second service (Brittenham: page 5, para 50).

Art Unit: 2155

While the examiner understands the difference between a computer implemented method for performing activities and a computer readable medium comprising instructions, which perform activities, the examiner relates these to the code and features of code running on a computer system. Therefore the claims below are equated to each other in nature and are therefore rejected accordingly.

1		14	
2		15	
3		16	
4		17	
5		18	
6		19	
7		20	
8		21	
9		22	
10		23	
11		24	,
	12		25
7111	13		26

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin R Bruckart whose telephone number 571-272-3982. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 PM with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3982.

Benjamin R Bruckart Examiner Art Unit 2155

brb November 5, 2004

> HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER